Do Seed Traits Mediate Plant Community Changes in Wisconsin's Unburned Prairies?

Senior Honors Thesis by Christopher Morgan



What is a Prairie?

This is!

Largely made up of grasses,
 sedges, and flowering plants
 known as forbs

Biodiversity Hotspot

Fire-adapted communities

Background Information

- Natural fires caused by lightning strikes
- Species require heat to germinate
- Increased fire suppression since 1950s
- Consensus of fire importance
 - more prescribed burns need to be taking place to be ecologically effective





Current State of Prairies

- WI Pre-European Settlement: 2,000,000 acres of prairie – Now: 12,000 scattered acres
- Prairie remnants largely fire suppressed potential shift in plants best suited to novel ecosystem
- Non-native species up 500% since 1950
 Make up >60% total species diversity in some cases

This Study

- Changes to landscape & fire regime raise questions as to how plant (& animal) communities respond to change
- Studying plant traits tells much about change
- Goal: quantify change in species composition and their seed traits as underlying mechanisms of that change

Hypotheses

LEFE SUM DATE OF		
Burned	Ideal sites	Large or expanding patches
	Medium seed coats	
	Low mass	
	High shape index	
Unburned	Less than ideal sites	Small or shrinking patches
	Thick seed coats	
	High mass	
	Low shape index	
	•	







Methods

- Measure:
 - Seed Mass
 - Seed Coat Thickness
 - Seed Shape Index (0 being perfectly spherical)
 For each species found at our sites
- Classify
 - Burned
 - Unburned



A005 2015/10/07 15:46:37 Unit: mm Magnification: 86.1 x WHELGRO1.5



WHELGRO1.5

Helianthus grosseserratus (sawtooth sunflower)

Community Averages

- Seed trait means averaged for each site

 Based on species present
- Seed Mass Example:





1 km chosen
as it's
roughly the
max distance
seeds can
disperse





Neat Groupings by Site Type

Seed Mass and Fire History



Modest Correlation with Mass

Seed Coat Thickness and Fire History



Results

= Correlation Predicted

~ = No significant Correlation

X = Correlation Opposite Predicted

Burned	Ideal sites	Large or expanding patches
~	Medium seed coats	~
	Low mass	~
✓	High shape index	~
Unburned	Less than ideal sites	Small or shrinking patches
Unburned ~	Less than ideal sites Thick seed coats	Small or shrinking patches
Unburned ~ V	Less than ideal sites Thick seed coats High mass	Small or shrinking patches ~ ~

Discussion

- 2010s seed mass considerably higher overall
 Unburned higher than burned
- Shape, seed mass: strong negative correlation
- Seed coat thickness, mass: somewhat proportional
- No strong relationship between prairie size and seed traits
 - but when paired with fire there is
- Fire the real driver of community composition

Land Mgmt Implications

- Prescribed burns used, but not widely enough
- Lack of prairie fires even since 1950s has had significant influence on make-up of prairies
 - Ex: larger seeds have disproportionate nutrient reserves – better at penetrating leaf litter layer
- Better effort to remove stigma from burns and return them as a normalized management tool

